UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,300	08/26/2003 Eunhyung Kim		Q76059	2617
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/23/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	LY, CHEYNE D		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2168	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application N	Application No. Applicant(s)						
			10/647,300		KIM ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			CHEYNE D. L	Υ	2168				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, care	TE OF THIS ((a). In no event, h I apply and will exp ause the application	COMMUNICATION bowever, may a reply be tin ire SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>March</i>	25, 2009						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		action is non-f	inal.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-14 and 16-22</u> is/are p	pending in the	e application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-14 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requi	rement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			bjected to by the I	Examiner.				
,	- ' '		•	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/647,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 2168

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4-14, and 16-22 are examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8, 12-14, 16, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Moriwake et al. (US 2003/0117431 A1) (Moriwake hereafter).
- 1. In regard to claim 1, Moriwake discloses a media file management system, comprising:
- a control signal input unit that receives at least one control signal transmitted from an input device to control operations of the media file management system (page 3, [0047] to [0054]);
- 3. an interface unit that accesses files stored in another information appliance by the control signal, and receives the media files (page 3, [0049], e.g. device controller instructs video disk recorder to reproduce a material...stored in the internal disc recording medium). a media file management unit that creates a search window that displays media files to be searched (page 8, [0131] e.g. clip tree window) and an edit window that displays a media content file to be edited, when the media file is required to be edited (page 9, [0140], e.g. clip being displayed at present to be edited, and Figures 10-12), and searching and managing the

Art Unit: 2168

media files through the search window or the edit window in accordance with the at least one control signal (claim 43); and

- 4. a display driving unit that displays the search window and the edit window together in a single display screen, wherein the media file management unit is implemented in a single program application (Figures 10-12).
- 5. In regard to claim 2, Moriwake discloses a memory unit that stores the media file edited by the media file management unit (page 9, [0136], e.g. clip database, and page 3, [0060], e.g. a RAM 21B in which the up-loaded application software and database are stored); and a display driving unit generates displayable results of the editing of the media file by the media file management unit (Figures 10-12).
- 6. In regard to claim 3, Moriwake discloses an interface unit that accesses media files stored in another information appliance (page 3, [0049], e.g. device controller instructs video disk recorder to reproduce a material...stored in the internal disc recording medium).
- 7. In regard to claim 5, Moriwake discloses the media files are album files containing at least one of image, music or movie files (page 5, [0081], e.g. one sequence of the video moving image data is defined as clip video data, and Figure 12, e.g. Library 33).
- 8. In regard to claim 6, Moriwake discloses wherein the media file management unit comprises:
- 9. an application driving unit that drives an application configured to manipulate a type of media file selected, and manages the media file through the application (page 13, [0189], e.g. the clip displayed on the library window 33 is clicked first or the clip displayed on the clip tree window 31 is clicked, so as to select the clip to be edited);

Application/Control Number: 10/647,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 2168

an edit window creation unit that creates the search window (Figures 10-12, e.g. tree 31) or the edit window (Figures 10-12, e.g. Editor); and a media file search unit that searches for media files stored in at least one of the memory unit and another information appliance (claim 43).

- 10. In regard to claim 8, Moriwake discloses the media file management unit causes results of the execution of a file edit command for a media file selected in the search window to be displayed in the edit window (page 13, [0189], e.g. the clip displayed on the library window 33 is clicked first or the clip displayed on the clip tree window 31 is clicked, so as to select the clip to be edited).
- 11. In regard to claims 12-15, 16, and 17, Moriwake describes the claimed system (Figure 1) for the implementing the claimed as cited above.
- 12. In regard to claims 20-22, Moriwake describes the claimed computer readable medium (Figure 1) for the implementing the claimed as cited above.

CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 2168

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 15. Claims 9-11, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwake et al. (US 2003/0117431 A1) (Moriwake hereafter) taken with Meyers et al. (2001) (Myers hereafter).
- 16. In regard to claim 9, Moriwake describes the claimed invention except for the limitation of the media file management unit causes results of the execution of a file edit command for a media file selected in the edit window to be displayed in the search window. Myers discloses the media file management unit causes results of the execution of a file edit command for a media file selected in the edit window to be displayed in the search window (page 112, column 2, lines 1-3, e.g. "the user selects a portion of the video on one view in Silver, the equivalent portion is highlighted in all other views" as exemplified in Figure 1). Moriwake describes an invention to provide an editing system which can realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials with the original hierarchical structure. Further, based on the management information, this invention is to realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials to be the most suitable for the edit processing (page 1, [0007]). While, Myers describes a video editor with the goal of making editing of digital video as easy as text editing (page 106, Abstract).

Art Unit: 2168

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated by Moriwake to improve the editor of Myers to provide an editing system which can realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials with the original hierarchical structure and to realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials to be the most suitable for the edit processing.

- 17. In regard to claim 10, Moriwake in view of Myers discloses the file edit command includes delete command (page 111, column 1, lines 3-4, e.g. delete).
- 18. In regard to claim 11, Moriwake in view of Myers discloses the media file management unit is configured to copy the media file selected in the search window into the edit window in response to the Copy command being selected, and is configured to move the media file selected in the search window into the edit window in response to the Move command being selected (page 111, column 1, lines 3-4, e.g. copy and paste wherein paste has been interpreted as "move").
- 19. In regard to claims 18 and 19, Moriwake in view of Myers describes the claimed system for the implementing the claimed as cited above.
- 20. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwake et al. (US 2003/0117431 A1) (Moriwake hereafter) and Myers et al. (2001) (Myers hereafter) as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-16, and 18-22 above, and further in view of Chernock et al. (US 6,229,524B1) (Chernock hereafter).
- 21. In regard to claim 4, Myers describes the claimed invention except for the limitation of "universal remote control function." Chernock describes the transmission of video data with the use of universal remote control function (column 4, lines 64-65, e.g. Universal Remote

Application/Control Number: 10/647,300

Art Unit: 2168

Page 7

Control). Chernock describes an improvement to well known in the art video editors (column 1, lines 31-33) by providing a simple interface that allows a viewer to navigate a cursor among current hot spots and make a selection of function associated with one of them (column 2, line 66, to column 3, line 2). Moriwake describes an invention to provide an editing system which can realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials with the original hierarchical structure. Further, based on the management information, this invention is to realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials to be the most suitable for the edit processing (page 1, [0007]). While, Myers describes a video editor interface comprising a cursor for user selection (page 111, section 6.5 Preview View, e.g. user moves the cursor through the time line). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated by Chernock to improve the editor of Moriwake and Myers to provide a simple interface that allows a viewer to navigate a cursor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method of Moriwake and Myers with the universal remote control device of Chernock to provide a simple interface that allows a viewer to navigate a cursor via a Universal Remote Control.

- 22. Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moriwake et al. (US 2003/0117431 A1) (Moriwake hereafter) and Myers et al. (2001) (Myers hereafter) as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-16, and 18-22 above.
- 23. In regard to claims 7 and 17, Moriwake describes the claimed invention except for the limitation of the paths of the media files are displayed in the edit window or the search window. Myers suggest a plan to add support for many other views such as adding WWW

Application/Control Number: 10/647,300

Art Unit: 2168

links (paths) in all other views might also be useful. Moriwake describes an invention to provide an editing system which can realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials with the original hierarchical structure. Further, based on the management information, this invention is to realize the simplified and high-speed edit by managing a plurality of materials to be the most suitable for the edit processing (page 1, [0007]). While, Myers describes a video editor with the goal of making editing of digital video as easy as text editing (page 106, Abstract). Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated by Myers to add WWW links (paths) in all other views. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the method of Moriwake and Myers with the paths of the media files are displayed in the edit window or the search window.

Page 8

CONCLUSION

24. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The

Application/Control Number: 10/647,300 Page 9

Art Unit: 2168

USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

- 25. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The USPTO's official fax number is 571-272-8300.
- 26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.
- 27. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo, can be reached on (571) 272-3642.

/Cheyne D Ly/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168